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Introduction

The industry understands by “die-plating” the occurrence and accumulation of undesirable deposits in the flow channel and at the die orifice of extruders during the extrusion of rubber compounds. With time, such deposits not only can cause fouled extrusion surfaces, but also poor dimensional stability, which means off-quality production and finally expensive non-productive hours because of idle machine time for cleaning or replacement of soiled parts. Similar phenomena are also observed during injection molding.

It seems likely to assume the reasons for die-plating in two areas:

- in process related parameters such as smoothness of machine walls, machine geometry and operating conditions.
- in the formulation of the rubber compound, where the polymers, fillers and various other additives may have an effect.

The objective of the present work was to identify factors of influence in the extrusion process, and thus help to find ways to avoid or at least minimize the occurrence of die-plating under actual factory conditions. Tests were run on EPDM compounds with different fillers, as well as with selected additives and processing aids (but without curing chemicals), by means of working with a special developed proprietary test technique.
2 Experimental

2.1 Base formulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>phr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EPDM rubber</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral filler</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corax N-550</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraffin oil (plasticizer)</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summe</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1: Base formulation for the extrusion trials*

For comparative tests of extrusion parameters and formulation variations with different additives and polymers, the Neuburg Siliceous Earth grade Sillitin Z 86 was used.

2.2 Test design

Individual tests were run with 5 kg of compound each, under the conditions listed in Table 2.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extruder</td>
<td>Schwabenthan Polytest 30 R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screw diameter</td>
<td>mm 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process length</td>
<td>mm 450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature set point</td>
<td>°C 60 / 60 / 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>head / zone 1 / zone 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling (zone 1 and 2)</td>
<td>¼ turn open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screw speed</td>
<td>rpm 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Die-plating measuring device</td>
<td>see drawings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measuring channel</td>
<td>mm 50 x 10 x 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal insert material</td>
<td>Toolsteel CK 45, lengthwise ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal insert roughness</td>
<td>µm 5-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(across the flow direction)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed stripes</td>
<td>mm 30 x 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 2: Extrusion test conditions*
The extruder used, a Schwabenthan Polytest 30 R, is shown in Figure 1.

**Figure 1: Special front-end test device and Schwabenthan extruder Polytest 30 R**

The special device for assessment of the die-plating effect, a die insert plate, is represented in Figure 2.

**Figure 2: Schematic drawing of the front-end test device with metal insert**

The evaluation took place by visual assessment of the metal insert surface.

**Figure 3: Examples for different die-plating tendency**
3 Results

3.1 Effect of process parameters

For the test, the base formulation with Sillitin Z 86 was used. Figure 4 illustrates in comparison the die-plating effect with normal, polished resp. fluoralkyl silane treated metal surfaces of the die insert plate. Quite obviously, the reduced roughness of a polished surface should be of advantage for minimizing the occurrence of die-plating.

![Standard surface: lengthwise ground, Rz 5-7 µm](image1)

![Surface treated with fluoralkyl silane](image2)

![Surface polished](image3)

Figure 4: Effect of the die insert surface on the die-plating effect

The favorable effect of reducing the extrusion throughput - although in the tests to an extreme degree - is shown in Figure 5. Higher extruder head temperatures, according to Figure 6, also seem to similarly improve the situation.

![500 g/min](image4)

![50 g/min](image5)

Figure 5: Effect of extrusion throughput on the die-plating effect

![60°C](image6)

![100°C](image7)

Figure 6: Effect of extruder head temperature on the die-plating effect

Conclusion: Process parameters

Die-plating can be reduced / avoided by:

- roughness reduction of all surfaces which are in contact with the extrudate, especially in the die and areas of high shear rate.
- decrease of extrusion throughput.
- increase of extruder head temperature.
- based on experience of customers: favorable flow geometry in front of the die orifice.
3.2  **Effect of the formulation**

### 3.2.1  **Effect of the polymer**

Already extrusion tests with straight uncompounded rubbers give evidence of a broad spectrum of deposition tendencies. Figure 7 shows typical results for two EPDM rubbers without respectively with high die-plating tendency.

![Figure 7: Rubber without resp. with high die-plating tendency](image)

Figure 7: Rubber without resp. with high die-plating tendency

Therefore four different EPDM polymers were compared in the base formulation (with Sillitin Z 86) given in Table 1. Characteristic properties of the EPDM types are listed in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mooney viscosity 125°C</th>
<th>Molecular weight distribution (MWD)</th>
<th>Ethylene (C2) content (%)</th>
<th>Diene (ENB) content (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EPDM 1</strong></td>
<td>approx. 90</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>bimodal</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(standard)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EPDM 2</strong></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>not determined</td>
<td>medium broad</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EPDM 3</strong></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>not determined</td>
<td>broad</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EPDM 4</strong></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>not determined</td>
<td>narrow</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Characteristic properties of EPDM types used

After the test runs, the die inserts exhibited distinct differences (Figure 8). The viscosity of the polymers appeared as the decisive parameter: the grades with medium or low viscosity came off favorably. On the other hand, the molecular weight distribution did not seem to exert any substantial effects.

![Figure 8: Metal die inserts after trials with compounds based on 4 different EPDM types](image)

Figure 8: Metal die inserts after trials with compounds based on 4 different EPDM types
Conclusion: Effect of the polymer
Die-plating can be reduced / avoided by:
- use of lower viscosity polymer grades.

3.2.2 Effect of additives besides Neuburg Siliceous Earth

a) Dosage of additives at 2 phr
The additives listed in Table 4 were added at 2 phr to the unchanged base compound (Table 1) with standard EPDM 1 and Sillitin Z 86 as filler.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product type</th>
<th>Chemical group</th>
<th>Chemical designation</th>
<th>Trade name</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filler activator / desactivator</td>
<td>Fatty acid</td>
<td>Stearic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligomeric additive</td>
<td>Low molecular polymer</td>
<td>Liquid 1,2-polybutadiene</td>
<td>Lithene AH</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligomeric additive</td>
<td>Low molecular polymer</td>
<td>Liquid 1,2-polybutadiene, silylated</td>
<td>Polyvest 25</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligomeric additive</td>
<td>Low molecular polymer</td>
<td>Liquid EPDM</td>
<td>Trilene 67</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupling agent</td>
<td>Alkoxysilylalkyl-sulfane</td>
<td>TESPT *</td>
<td>Si 69 *</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing aid</td>
<td>Hydrocarbon wax derivate</td>
<td>Perfluoralkyl wax **</td>
<td>Genolub PFF 6/1020 **</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing aid</td>
<td>Fatty acid derivate</td>
<td>Stearyl alcohol</td>
<td>Lorol C 18</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing aid</td>
<td>Fatty acid derivate</td>
<td>Hydroxystearic acid</td>
<td>Edenor OSSG</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing aid</td>
<td>Hydrocarbon wax derivate</td>
<td>Montan acid wax</td>
<td>Luwax S</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing aid</td>
<td>Metal soap</td>
<td>Processing aid based on calcium stearate</td>
<td>Deoflow S</td>
<td>o/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filler activator / desactivator</td>
<td>Metal soap</td>
<td>Zinc stearate</td>
<td>Zincum N 37 SL</td>
<td>o/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filler activator / desactivator</td>
<td>Quaternary ammonium salt</td>
<td>Dimethyl distearyl ammonium chloride</td>
<td>Varisoft TA 100</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filler activator / desactivator</td>
<td>Glycol</td>
<td>Diethylene glycol (DEG)</td>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing aid</td>
<td>Fatty acid derivate</td>
<td>Stearic(acid)amide</td>
<td>Uniwax 1750</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* as Aktisil PF 216
** dosage 0.03 and 0.3 phr

Effect on die-plating
++ strongly reducing
+ reducing
o not noticeable
- enhancing
-- strongly enhancing

Table 4: Additives and their effects
The rating of the individual additives here referred to the reduction resp. enhancement of the die-plating effect as observed for the compound containing Sillitin Z 86 without any additives (Figure 9).

![Practically without effect](image1)

![Slight positive effect](image2)

![Slight negative effect](image3)

![Marked positive effect](image4)

![Marked negative effect](image5)

**Figure 9: Assessment of the reducing resp. enhancing effect of additives (2 phr)**

The results have been included in Table 4: distinct differences were observed between individual groups of additives. A surprisingly big number of additives did not noticeably effect the tendency towards die-plating, others did increase the effect. The compound with the TESPT treated Neuburg Siliceous Earth Aktisil PF 216 without other additive came off rather favorably, and also low molecular polymers as additives showed positive results. The most obvious improvement was obtained with stearic acid.

In an additional test series, the replacement of at least 5 phr plasticizer by silicone oil in the standard formulation with Sillitin Z 86 resulted in complete absence of die-plating (Figure 10).

![Standard formulation with Sillitin Z 86](image6)

![5 phr plasticizer replaced by silicone oil](image7)

![2 phr plasticizer replaced by silicone oil](image8)

![10 phr plasticizer replaced by silicone oil](image9)

**Figure 10: Effect of silicone oil as partial replacement of the paraffinic mineral oil plasticizer on the die-plating tendency**
b) Dosage of additives at 5 phr

In view of the results obtained with varying silicone oil concentrations - where 2 phr initially gave a fall-off, but 5 phr caused a marked improvement - the additives listed in Table 5 were now used at 5 phr throughout.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product type</th>
<th>Chemical group</th>
<th>Chemical designation</th>
<th>Trade name</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Silicone oil</td>
<td>Polydimethyl siloxane, 1000 mm²/s</td>
<td>Silikonöl AK 1000</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing aid</td>
<td>Metal soap</td>
<td>Zinc oleate</td>
<td>LIGA Zinkoleat</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing aid</td>
<td>Metal soap</td>
<td>Zinc stearate</td>
<td>Zincum N 37 SL</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing aid</td>
<td>Metal soap</td>
<td>Calcium-/ zinc stearate</td>
<td>Ca/Zn-Stearat SMS</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing aid</td>
<td>Metal soap</td>
<td>Calcium stearate</td>
<td>Ceasit 1</td>
<td>o/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing aid</td>
<td>Metal soap</td>
<td>Processing aid based on calcium stearate</td>
<td>Deoflow S</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing aid</td>
<td>Fatty acid derivate</td>
<td>Stearic(acid)amide</td>
<td>Uniwax 1750</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filler activator / desactivator</td>
<td>Glycol</td>
<td>Polyethylene glycol (PEG) 4000 g/mol</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filler activator / desactivator</td>
<td>Glycol</td>
<td>Diethylene glycol (DEG)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effect on die-plating
++ strongly reducing
+ reducing
o not noticeable
- enhancing
-- strongly enhancing

Table 5: Additives and their effects
Again the rating of the individual additives referred to the reduction resp. enhancement of the die-plating effect as observed for the compound without any additives (Figure 11).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference without additive</th>
<th>Silicone oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc oleate</td>
<td>Calcium-/zinc stearate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc stearate + 2 phr stearic acid</td>
<td>Zinc stearate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium stearate + 2 phr stearic acid</td>
<td>Calcium stearate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing aid based on calcium stearate</td>
<td>Stearic(acid)amide (stearamide)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene glycol (PEG)</td>
<td>Diethylen glycol (DEG)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 11:** Assessment of the reducing resp. enhancing effect of additives (5 phr)

Figure 11 in addition illustrates combinations with stearic acid. For zinc stearate and calcium stearate, a slight downward trend is evident.
c) Additional trials with selected additives

The additives listed in Table 6 were used in varying concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product type</th>
<th>Chemical group</th>
<th>Chemical designation</th>
<th>Trade name</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Processing aid</td>
<td>Metal soap</td>
<td>Zinc oleate</td>
<td>LIGA Zinkoleat</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate ester</td>
<td>Lauric phosphate ester</td>
<td>Lakeland PA 120</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amino alcohol</td>
<td>Triethanol amine (TEA)</td>
<td></td>
<td>++/- *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* the test plate showed a distinct positive effect, but on the opposite side increased plating was observed

Effect on die-plating

++ strongly reducing
+ reducing
o not noticeable
- enhancing
-- strongly enhancing

Table 6: Additives and their effects

The rating of the individual additives here referred also to the reduction resp. enhancement of the die-plating effect (Figure 12).

Figure 12: Assessment of the reducing resp. enhancing effect of additives
Conclusion: Effect of additives

Die-plating can be reduced by:

- elimination of diethylene glycol (DEG) or polyethylene glycol (PEG), quaternary ammonium salts or amide waxes (even as part of processing aids).
- addition of triethanol amine (TEA) instead of diethylene glycol (DEG) or polyethylene glycol (PEG).
- addition of stearic acid, if possible at high levels.
- addition of zinc stearate or zinc oleate, preferably in combination with stearic acid.

Die-plating can be avoided by:

- addition of at least 5 phr of silicone oil.
- addition of 2.5 to 5 phr of lauric phosphate ester (also with triethanol amine as neutralizing agent).

3.2.3 Effect of mineral fillers

The fillers listed in Table 7 were compared in the base standard EPDM compound shown in Table 1 (for talc, the loading was increased from 50 to 53 phr).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filler</th>
<th>Designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sillitin Z 86</td>
<td>Neuburg Siliceous Earth  &lt;br&gt;natural combination of corpuscular Neuburg silica and lamellar kaolinite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sillitin V 85</td>
<td>Neuburg Siliceous Earth  &lt;br&gt;coarser particle size than Sillitin Z 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silfit Z 91</td>
<td>Neuburg Siliceous Earth  &lt;br&gt;subjected to a heat treatment (calcined)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whiting</td>
<td>Natural calcium carbonate  &lt;br&gt;Predominantly corpuscular  &lt;br&gt;fine particle size (similar to Sillitin Z 86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talc 1</td>
<td>Micronized talc  &lt;br&gt;lamellar  &lt;br&gt;fine particle size (similar to Sillitin Z 86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talc 2</td>
<td>Micronized talc  &lt;br&gt;lamellar  &lt;br&gt;coarser particle size than talc 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 7: Characteristics of the mineral fillers tested*
Figure 13: Schematic representation of various tested particle structures and SEM photographs of Neuburg Siliceous Earth

Figure 14 gives evidence of the fact that fillers with lamellar (platelike) structure cause markedly stronger die-plating, compared with fillers of corpuscular particle characteristics.

Figure 14: Comparison of fillers of different particle structure
As shown in Figure 15 for Neuburg Siliceous Earth and talc, coarser particle size of a filler has a favorable effect in the direction of reducing the tendency towards die-plating.

Figure 15: Effect of particle size

Figure 16 shows the effect when Neuburg Siliceous Earth is subjected to a heat treatment: the lamellar portions are aggregated by calcination – this avoids die-plating caused by the mineral filler.

Figure 16: Effect of calcined Neuburg Siliceous Earth

Conclusion: Effect of mineral fillers

Die-plating can be reduced by:

- elimination of lamellar fillers, e.g. talc  
  (even as powdering agent or in dip baths of batch-off units).
- preferred incorporation of Sillitin V or Sillitin N grades as fillers  
  (less favorable: Sillitin Z or Sillikolloid).

Die-plating can be avoided by:

- use of Silfit Z 91.
Summary

For minimizing or avoiding the occurrence of die-plating during the extrusion of EPDM compounds, according to the results presented the following measures should act favorably:

Process parameters:
- Roughness reduction of all surfaces which are in contact with the extrudate, especially in the die.
- Decrease of extrusion throughput.
- Increase of extruder head temperature.
- Based on experience of customers: favorable flow geometry in front of the die orifice.

Formulation:
- addition of at least 5 phr silicone oil
- addition of 2.5 to 5 phr of lauric phosphate ester (also with tri ethanol amine as neutralizing agent)
- addition of zinc stearate or zinc oleate, preferably in combination with stearic acid
- addition of stearic acid, if possible at high levels
- addition of tri ethanol amine (TEA) instead of diethylene glycol (DEG) or polyethylene glycol (PEG)
- elimination of diethylene glycol (DEG) or polyethylene glycol (PEG), quaternary ammonium salts or amide waxes (even as part of processing aids)
- use of lower viscosity polymer grades
- elimination of lamellar fillers, e.g. talc (even as powdering agent or in dip baths of batch-off units)
- preferred incorporation of Sillitin V or Sillitin N grades as fillers (less favorable: Sillitin Z or Sillikolloid P)
- use of Silfit Z 91

Recommended fillers of the Neuburg Siliceous Earth product range:
- Sillitin N or Sillitin V: economic way to reduce die-plating.
- Silfit Z 91: eliminates die-plating caused by mineral fillers.
Interpretation

Based on the results obtained, a hypothesis can be formulated as follows:

Lamellar fillers become oriented under shear/elongational flow conditions, accumulate near the walls in the area of highest shear rate, and thus are separated out of the compound without being further moved on by the shear stresses of the flowing compound. In the case of wall or film slippage, this phenomenon will not occur at all or only to a marginal degree.

This hypothesis is supported by the following facts:

In his discussion of the rheology of highly loaded EPDM compounds, Geiger [1] arrived at the conclusion that wall slippage will prevail below a critical wall shear stress, but shear flow with wall adhesion will occur above this threshold. The parameters of influence for this critical wall shear stress are:

- the surface quality (roughness) of the walls of the die
- the plastic-viscoelastic properties of the compound

By way of a model, the rubber compound can be visualized to slip on a very thin film over the irregularities of the wall below the critical wall shear stress level. Under these conditions, it is subjected only to minimum shear. The normal stress differences developing in the shear plastic-viscoelastic compound tend to cause a widening of the slipping compound which fills out the cavities in the wall surface. As long as the shear stress in the direction of flow exceeds the effect of the normal stress differences acting towards the wall, slippage will occur.

This situation may also be considered as a case of “lubricated” solid state friction. The shear stress corresponds to the friction force $F_R$, and the components of the normal stress differences to the normal force $F_N$. The ratio $F_R / F_N$ would make up the friction coefficient $\mu$, which - apart from the viscosity of the lubricating film - depends upon the roughness of the surface. If the roughness and thus the friction coefficient with unchanged other conditions are continuously increased, a critical point will be reached at which no movement at the wall is any longer possible. In the inverse case, beyond a critical point of overcoming the adhesion friction, movement will start.

This model when applied to the die insert test plate, would mean that reducing the roughness of the insert surface will result in increasing the level of the critical wall shear stress (prevailing wall shear stress < critical wall shear stress), and as a result wall slippage phenomena would be encouraged. The real test results as well as comments from rubber manufacturers are in qualitative agreement with these assumptions.

The reduced die-plating tendency with higher extruder head temperatures could also be explained by this model. With the shear/elongational flow situation as assumed for the die-plating effect, increased temperature will result in reduced viscosity of the compound. The resulting shear stresses at a given extrusion rate (= shear rate) will decrease and approach, or even end up below the critical wall shear stress. Decreasing the extrusion rate at a constant temperature leads to the same result of reduced shear stresses.

The observed positive effects of compound formulation modifications can similarly be explained by postulating that, under constant other conditions, different rubber grades or selected additives are able to shift the range of wall slippage to higher wall shear stresses, i.e. the compounds remain at levels below the critical wall shear stress.
Literature:


Our technical service suggestions and the information contained in this report are based on experience and are made to the best of our knowledge and belief, but must nevertheless be regarded as non-binding advice subject to no guarantee. Working and employment conditions over which we have no control exclude any damage claims arising from the use of our data and recommendations. Furthermore, we cannot assume any responsibility for any patent infringements which might result from the use of our information.